arXiv:1008.4644v1 [math.AP] 27 Aug 2010

A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION ON SCATTERING FOR THE REGULARLY HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS

TOKIO MATSUYAMA† AND MICHAEL RUZHANSKY*

Abstract. The present paper is devoted to finding a necessary and sufficient condition on the occurence of scattering for the regularly hyperbolic systems with time-dependent coefficients whose time-derivatives are in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. More precisely, it will be shown that the solutions are asymptotically free if the coefficients are stable in the sense of the Riemann integrability on $\mathbb{R}$ (R-stability) as $t \to \pm\infty$, while each nontrivial solution is never asymptotically free provided that the coefficients are not R-stable as $t \to \pm\infty$. As a by-product, the scattering operator can be constructed. It is expected that the results obtained in the present paper would be brought into the study of the asymptotic behaviour of Kirchhoff systems.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall give some results on the asymptotic behaviour for the Cauchy problem of the regularly hyperbolic systems with time-dependent coefficients. These results would provide a good information on the study of the asymptotic behaviours for Kirchhoff systems. In [5] the first author gave the sufficient condition on the existence of scattering states for the wave equations, and found the special data for the nonexistence of scattering states. More precisely, there exists a solution $u = u(t,x)$ of the Cauchy problem to the strictly hyperbolic equation of second order of the form

$$\partial_t^2 u - c(t)^2 \Delta u = 0$$

such that u is never asymptotically free, where we assume that $c(t)$ satisfies

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}} c(t) > 0, \quad c'(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} c(t) = c_{\pm\infty} > 0,$$

$$c(t) - c_{\pm\infty} \text{ is not integrable on } (0,+\infty) \ ((-\infty,0) \text{ resp.}).$$

On the contrary, if $c(t)$ is stable, i.e., $c(t) - c_{\pm\infty}$ is integrable on $(0,+\infty)$ $((-\infty,0)$ resp.), then any solution u is asymptotically free. As for the strictly hyperbolic equations of second order for "bounded domains," the similar result was obtained in [1]. It should be noted that the results of [5] are applied to deduce the nonexistence of scattering states for the Kirchhoff equation (see [6]). In this sense the behaviour of $c(t) - c_{\pm\infty}$ affects the development of the scattering theory for wave equations with time-dependent coefficients as well as for the Kirchhoff equation.

The first order systems often appear in the analysis of equations of orders larger than two and in the analysis of coupled equations of second order (see examples 1.4–1.5 below). In the present paper we will find the necessary and sufficient condition on

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 35L05 ; Secondary 35L10

The first author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 21540198), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The second author was supported in parts by the EPSRC grant EP/E062873/1 and EPSRC Leadership Fellowship EP/G007233/1.

the occurrence of scattering for the regularly hyperbolic system with time-dependent coefficients, which extends the results of [5]. We will also construct the wave operators and scattering operators by using the asymptotic integrations method, which were developed in [7]. In hyperbolic systems we will impose a stability condition on the characteristic roots of the symbol of the differential operator.

Apart from the scattering problem, the dispersion for hyperbolic systems is also of great interest. Large hyperbolic systems appear in many applications, for example the Grad systems of gas dynamics, hyperbolic systems in the Hermite-Grad decomposition of the Fokker-Planck equation, etc. Thus, for general hyperbolic equations and systems with constant coefficients a comprehensive analysis of dispersive and Strichartz estimates was carried out in [10]. The dispersion for scalar equations based on the asymptotic integration method was analysed by the authors in [7], motivated by the higher order Kirchhoff equations. The dispersion for hyperbolic systems with time-dependent coefficients will be discussed in [8] and will appear elsewhere, as well as the applications of the obtained results to the Kirchhoff systems.

To be more precise, let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$D_tU = A(t, D_x)U \qquad \text{with } D_t = -i\partial_t \text{ and } D_{x_j} = -i\partial_{x_j} \ (j = 1, \dots, n), \tag{1.1}$$

$i = \sqrt{-1}$, for $t \neq 0$, with Cauchy data

$$U(0, x) = {}^T(f_0(x), \dots, f_{m-1}(x)) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m. \tag{1.2}$$

The operator $A(t, D_x)$ is the first order $m \times m$ pseudo-differential[1] system, with symbol $A(t, \xi)$ of the form $A(t, \xi) = \{a_{ij}(t, \xi)\}_{i,j=1}^n$, where we assume that $a_{ij}(t, \xi)$ are positively homogeneous of order one in ξ, $a_{ij}(t, \lambda\xi) = \lambda a_{ij}(t, \xi)$ for $\lambda > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\backslash 0$, and satisfy

$$a_{ij}(\cdot, \xi) \in \mathrm{Lip}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \text{ and } \partial_t a_{ij}(\cdot, \xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, m. \tag{1.3}$$

We will also assume that the symbol $A(t, \xi)$ satisfies the regularly hyperbolic condition in the sense of Mizohata ([9]):

$$\det(\tau I - A(t, \xi)) = 0 \text{ has (in } \tau\text{) real and distinct roots } \varphi_1(t, \xi), \dots, \varphi_m(t, \xi), \tag{1.4}$$

i.e.,

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}, |\xi|=1, j\neq k} |\varphi_j(t, \xi) - \varphi_k(t, \xi)| = d > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Notice that each characteristic root $\varphi_j(t, \xi)$ is positively homogeneous of order one in ξ. The assumption (1.3) assures the existence of the limiting functions $a^{\pm}_{ij}(\xi)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$a_{ij}(t, \xi) \to a^{\pm}_{ij}(\xi) \quad (t \to \pm\infty), \tag{1.6}$$

and we can expect that the solution $U(t, x)$ of (1.1)–(1.2) is asymptotic to some solution of the following hyperbolic system with constant coefficients as $t \to \pm\infty$:

$$D_tV = A_{\pm}(D_x)V, \tag{1.7}$$

where $A_{\pm}(D_x)$ is the $m \times m$ first order pseudo-differential system, with symbol $A_{\pm}(\xi) = \{a^{\pm}_{ij}(\xi)\}_{i,j=1}^m$. Since the characteristic roots depend continuously on the coefficients, it follows from (1.3)–(1.6) that the operator $D_t - A_\pm(D_x)$ is regularly hyperbolic. This is because it will be shown in Proposition 2.1 below that there exists the limiting phases $\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)$ of $\varphi_j(t,\xi)$ for $j = 1,\dots,m$:

---

[1] We note that it is important to allow a_{ij} to be pseudo-differential here since we want the results to hold for scalar higher order equations as well, e.g. see Example 1.5.

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \varphi_j(t,\xi) = \varphi_j^\pm(\xi), \quad j = 1,\dots,m.$$

Hence by using (1.5), we have also

$$\inf_{|\xi|=1, j\neq k} |\varphi_j^\pm(\xi) - \varphi_k^\pm(\xi)| = d > 0. \tag{1.8}$$

We are now in a position to state our results. For this purpose, we recall the notion of scattering states. We say that the solution $U(t,x)$ of $D_tU = A(t,D_x)U$ is asymptotically free in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$, if it is asymptotic to some solution $V_\pm(t,x)$ of $D_tV = A_\pm(D_x)V$, i.e.,

$$\|U(t,\cdot) - V_\pm(t,\cdot)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m} \to 0 \quad (t \to \pm\infty).$$

We shall prove here the following theorem which gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the existence of scattering states.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* (1.3)–(1.5). *Then the integrabililty condition on* $\varphi_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)$ *is necessary and sufficient for the asymptotically free property for* (1.1)–(1.2). *More precisely, the following assertions hold*:

i) *If* $\varphi_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)$ $(j = 1,\dots,m)$ *are integrable on* $(0,+\infty)$ (*resp.* $(-\infty,0)$), *i.e., the functions*

$$\psi_{j,\pm}(t,\xi) \equiv \int_0^t \left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)\right) ds, \quad j = 1,\dots,m, \tag{1.9}$$

have the finite limits for each $\xi \neq 0$ *as* $t \to \pm\infty$, *then each solution* $U(t,x) \in C(\mathbb{R};(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m)$ *of* (1.1)–(1.2) *is asymptotically free in* $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$.

Moreover, the mapping (*the inverse of the wave operators* $\mathscr{W}_\pm$)

$$\mathscr{W}_\pm^{-1} : U(0) \mapsto V_\pm(0)$$

is well-defined and bounded on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$.

ii) *If* $\psi_{j,\pm}(t,\xi)$ *satisfy*

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} |\psi_{j,\pm}(t,\xi)| = +\infty, \quad \xi \neq 0, \qquad j = 1,\dots,m, \tag{1.10}$$

then each non-trivial solution $U(t,x) \in C(\mathbb{R};(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m)$ *of* (1.1)–(1.2) *is never asymptotically free in* $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$.

We note that condition (1.9) is stronger than (1.6), thus indeed specifying a subset of systems. There are different sufficient criteria for (1.9) to hold. For example, for $A(\cdot,\xi) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, if for all $|\xi| = 1$ we have

$$t(\psi_j(t,\xi) - \psi_j^\pm(\xi)) = o(1) \text{ as } t \to \pm\infty, \text{ and } t\psi_j'(t,\xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad j = 1,\dots,m,$$

then (1.9) follows. Indeed, in this case we have $\psi_j(\cdot,\xi) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all j, and the statement follows from the trivial identity

$$\int_0^t s\psi_j'(s,\xi)\, ds = \int_0^t (\psi_j^\pm(\xi) - \psi_j(s,\xi))\, ds + t(\psi_j(t,\xi) - \psi_j^\pm(\xi)).$$

Thus, under the assumption $t(\psi_j(t,\xi)-\psi_j^{\pm}(\xi))=o(1)$ as $t\to\pm\infty$, condition (1.9) is equivalent to $t\psi_j'(t,\xi)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}), j=1,\ldots,m$.

Next we state the results on the existence of wave operators. Let us consider the Cauchy problem for the regularly hyperbolic system with constant coefficients

$$D_t V_{\pm}=A_{\pm}(D_x)V_{\pm}, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \quad \pm t>0, \tag{1.11}$$

with Cauchy data

$$V_{\pm}(0,x)={}^T(f_0^{\pm}(x),\ldots,f_{m-1}^{\pm}(x)), \tag{1.12}$$

where $A_{\pm}(D_x)$ is the pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\{a_{ij}^{\pm}(\xi)\}_{i,j=1}^m$. We will assume that the characteristic roots $\varphi_1^{\pm}(\xi),\ldots,\varphi_m^{\pm}(\xi)$ of the operator $D_t-A_{\pm}(D_x)$ are real and distinct, i.e.,

$$\det(\tau I-A_{\pm}(\xi))=(\tau-\varphi_1^{\pm}(\xi))\cdots(\tau-\varphi_m^{\pm}(\xi)), \tag{1.13}$$

$$\inf_{|\xi|=1,j\neq k}|\varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)-\varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)|=d>0. \tag{1.14}$$

Then the following theorem assures the existence of wave operators.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* (1.13)–(1.14). *Suppose that* $a_{ij}(t,\xi)$ *are positively homogeneous of order one in* ξ, *and satisfy* (1.3) *in such a way that*

$$a_{ij}(t,\xi)\to a_{ij}^{\pm}(\xi) \quad \textit{for all } i,j \ (t\to\pm\infty).$$

Let $\varphi_1(t,\xi),\ldots,\varphi_m(t,\xi)$ *be the characteristic roots of the regularly hyperbolic operator* $D_t-A(t,D_x)$, *with symbol* $A(t,\xi)=\{a_{ij}(t,\xi)\}_{i,j=1}^m$. *Assume that*

$$\varphi_j(t,\xi)-\varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi) \textit{ is integrable on } (0,+\infty) \ (\textit{resp. } (-\infty,0)) \textit{ for each } \xi\neq 0.$$

Then for any solution $V_{\pm}(t,x)\in C(\mathbb{R};(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m)$ *of* (1.11)–(1.12), *there exists a unique solution* $U(t,x)\in C(\mathbb{R};(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m)$ *of* $D_tU=A(t,D_x)U$ *such that*

$$\|V_{\pm}(t)-U(t)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m}\to 0 \quad (t\to\pm\infty).$$

Moreover, the mapping (*wave operator*)

$$\mathscr{W}_{\pm}: V_{\pm}(0)\mapsto U(0)$$

is well-defined and bounded on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$.

As a consequence of Theorems 1.1–1.2, we can construct the scattering operators. More precisely, we have:

Corollary 1.3. *Assume that* $a_{ij}^+(\xi)=a_{ij}^-(\xi)$ *hold for* $i,j=1,\ldots,m$ *in Theorems 1.1–1.2. Then the mapping*

$$S=\mathscr{W}_+^{-1}\mathscr{W}_-: V_-(0)\mapsto V_+(0)$$

defines the scattering operator, and it is bijective and bounded on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$.

Finally, let us look at some examples to which our theorems can be applied. We note that although the equations may be of high order, it is important that we impose conditions only on one time-derivative of the coefficients. This is of crucial importance to being able to apply the obtained results to the Kirchhoff equations.

Our first example deals with higher order scalar equations.

Example 1.4. *Consider the Cauchy problem to the* m^{th} *order strictly hyperbolic equation*

$$L(t, D_t, D_x)u \equiv D_t^m u + \sum_{\substack{|\nu|+j=m \\ j\le m-1}} a_{\nu,j}(t) D_x^\nu D_t^j u = 0, \quad t \neq 0,$$

with Cauchy data

$$D_t^k u(x, 0) = f_k(x) \in H^{m-1-k}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $D_t = -i\partial_t$ *and* $D_x^\nu = (-i\partial_{x_1})^{\nu_1} \cdots (-i\partial_{x_n})^{\nu_n}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, *for* $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$. *We assume that* $a_{\nu,j}(t)$ *belong to* $\mathrm{Lip}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ *and satisfy*

$$a'_{\nu,j}(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{for all } \nu, j, \text{ and } k = 1, \ldots, m-1,$$

and the symbol $L(t, \tau, \xi)$ *of the operator* $L(t, D_t, D_x)$ *has real roots* $\varphi_1(t, \xi), \ldots, \varphi_m(t, \xi)$ *which are uniformly distinct for* $\xi \neq 0$, *i.e.,*

$$L(\tau, \xi) = (\tau - \varphi_1(t, \xi)) \cdots (\tau - \varphi_m(t, \xi)),$$

$$\inf_{\substack{|\xi|=1, t\in\mathbb{R} \\ j\neq k}} |\varphi_j(t, \xi) - \varphi_k(t, \xi)| = d > 0.$$

The reference equation is

$$D_t^m v_\pm + \sum_{\substack{|\nu|+j=m \\ j\le m-1}} a^\pm_{\nu,j} D_x^\nu D_t^j v_\pm = 0,$$

with $a^\pm_{\nu,j} = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} a_{\nu,j}(t)$, *and the energy space is* $\dot{H}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The following example deals with coupled second order equations.

Example 1.5. *Let us consider the Cauchy problem*

$$\partial_t^2 u - c_1(t)^2 \Delta u + P_1(t, D_x)v = 0,$$

$$\partial_t^2 v - c_2(t)^2 \Delta v + P_2(t, D_x)u = 0,$$

for some second order homogeneous polynomials $P_1(t, D_x), P_2(t, D_x)$ *which may depend on time, where we assume that*

$$c_k(t), P_k(t, \xi) \in \mathrm{Lip}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad c'_k(t), P'_k(t, \xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad (k = 1, 2), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}, |\xi|=1} \big((c_1(t)^2 - c_2(t)^2)^2 + 4P_1(t, \xi)P_2(t, \xi)\big) > 0,$$

$$\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}, |\xi|=1} \big(c_1(t)^2 c_2(t)^2 - P_1(t, \xi)P_2(t, \xi)\big) > 0.$$

By taking the Fourier transform in the space variables and introducing the vector

$$V(t, \xi) = {}^T(v_1(t, \xi), v_2(t, \xi), v_3(t, \xi), v_4(t, \xi)) = {}^T(|\xi|\widehat{u}(t, \xi), \widehat{u}'(t, \xi), |\xi|\widehat{v}(t, \xi), \widehat{v}'(t, \xi))$$

we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i|\xi| & 0 & 0 \\ ic_1(t)^2|\xi| & 0 & iP_1(t, \xi)|\xi|^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i|\xi| \\ iP_2(t, \xi)|\xi|^{-1} & 0 & ic_2(t)^2|\xi| & 0 \end{pmatrix} V \\ &= iA(t, \xi)V. \end{aligned}$$

The four characteristic roots of $\det(\tau I - A(t,\xi)) = 0$ *in* τ *are given by*

$$\varphi_{1,2,3,4}(t,\xi) = \pm\frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{c_1(t)^2 + c_2(t)^2 \pm \sqrt{(c_1(t)^2 - c_2(t)^2)^2 + 4P_1(t,\xi)P_2(t,\xi)|\xi|^{-4}}}.$$

The reference system is

$$\partial_t^2 u_\pm - c_{1,\pm}^2 \Delta u_\pm + P_{1,\pm}(D_x) v_\pm = 0,$$

$$\partial_t^2 v_\pm - c_{2,\pm}^2 \Delta v_\pm + P_{2,\pm}(D_x) u_\pm = 0,$$

with the limits $c_{k,\pm} = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} c_k(t)$, $P_{k,\pm}(\xi) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} P_k(t,\xi)$ *for* $k = 1,2$, *and the energy space is* $(\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^2$.

We conclude this section by stating our plan. In §2 we will find the representation formulae for (1.1)–(1.2). The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in §3 and §4. In the last section we will prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Representation formulae via asymptotic integrations

In this section we will derive the representation formulae for (1.1) along the method of [7]. Let us first analyse certain basic properties of characteristic roots $\varphi_k(t,\xi)$ of (1.4). The first part of the following statement was established in [8]. For the completeness, we will give the proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let the operator* $D_t - A(t,D_x)$ *satisfy the properties* (1.4)–(1.5). *Then each* $\partial_t\varphi_k(t,\xi)$, $k = 1,\dots,m$, *is homogeneous of order one in* ξ, *and there exist a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$|\partial_t\varphi_k(t,\xi)| \le C|\xi| \quad \text{for all } \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ t\in\mathbb{R},\ k=1,\dots,m. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, if $\partial_t a_{ij}(\cdot,\xi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *for all* $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $i,j = 1,\dots,m$, *then we have also* $\partial_t\varphi_k(\cdot,\xi)\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *for all* $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. *Furthermore, there exist functions* $\varphi_k^\pm \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 0)$, *homogeneous of order one, such that*

$$\varphi_k(t,\xi) \to \varphi_k^\pm(\xi) \qquad \text{as } t\to\pm\infty, \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$, *and* $k = 1,\dots,m$. *Finally, we have the following formula for the derivatives of characteristic roots*:

$$\partial_t\varphi_k(t,\xi) = -\sum_{j=0}^{m} \partial_t\alpha_{m-j}(t,\xi)\varphi_k(t,\xi)^j \prod_{r\ne k}\left(\varphi_k(t,\xi) - \varphi_r(t,\xi)\right)^{-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\alpha_k(t,\xi) = (-1)^k \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_k} \det\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{i_1 i_1}(t,\xi) & \cdots & \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{i_1 i_k}(t,\xi) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{i_k i_1}(t,\xi) & \cdots & \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{i_k i_k}(t,\xi) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let us show first that $\varphi_k(t,\xi)$ is bounded with respect to $t\in\mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$|\varphi_k(t;\xi)|\le C|\xi|,\quad \text{for all } \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ t\in\mathbb{R},\ k=1,\dots,m. \tag{2.4}$$

We will use the fact that $\varphi_k(t,\xi)$ are roots of the polynomial $L(t,\tau,\xi)=\det(\tau I-A(t,\xi))$ of the form $L(t,\tau,\xi)=\tau^m+\alpha_1(t,\xi)\tau^{m-1}+\cdots+\alpha_m(t,\xi)$ with $|\alpha_j(t,\xi)|\le M|\xi|^j$, for some $M\ge 1$. Suppose that one of its roots τ satisfies $|\tau(t,\xi)|>2M|\xi|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|L(t,\tau,\xi)|&\ge|\tau|^m\left(1-\frac{|\alpha_1(t,\xi)|}{|\tau|}-\cdots-\frac{|\alpha_m(t,\xi)|}{|\tau|^m}\right)\\&\ge 2M|\xi|^m\left(1-\frac12-\frac{1}{4M}-\cdots-\frac{1}{2^mM^{m-1}}\right)>0,\end{aligned}$$

hence $|\tau(t,\xi)|\le 2M|\xi|$ for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we establish (2.4).

Differentiating (1.4) with respect to t, we get

$$\frac{\partial L(t,\tau,\xi)}{\partial t}=\sum_{j=0}^m\partial_t\alpha_{m-j}(t,\xi)\tau^j=-\sum_{k=1}^m\partial_t\varphi_k(t,\xi)\prod_{r\ne k}(\tau-\varphi_r(t,\xi)).$$

Setting $\tau=\varphi_k(t,\xi)$, we obtain

$$\partial_t\varphi_k(t;\xi)\prod_{r\ne k}(\varphi_k(t,\xi)-\varphi_r(t,\xi))=-\sum_{|\nu|+j=m}\partial_t\alpha_{m-j}(t,\xi)\varphi_k(t,\xi)^j, \tag{2.5}$$

implying (2.3). Now, using (1.5), (2.4), and the assumption that $\partial_t\alpha_j(\cdot,\xi)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_t)$ for all j, we conclude that (2.1) holds and $\partial_t\varphi_k(\cdot,\xi)\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $k=1,\dots,m$. The homogeneity of order one of $\partial_t\varphi_\ell(t,\xi)$ is an immediate consequence of (2.5) and its derivatives.

Finally, setting $\varphi_k^\pm(\xi)=\varphi_k(0,\xi)+\int_0^{\pm\infty}\partial_t\varphi_k(t,\xi)\,dt$, we get (2.2). The proof is complete. □

We prepare the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([9] Proposition 6.4)**.** *Assume* (1.3)–(1.5)*. Then there exists a matrix* $\mathscr{N}=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$ *of homogeneous degree* 0 *in* ξ *satisfying the following properties*:
(i) $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)A(t,\xi/|\xi|)=\mathscr{D}(t,\xi)\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$*, where*

$$\mathscr{D}(t,\xi)=\operatorname{diag}(\varphi_1(t,\xi/|\xi|),\dots,\varphi_m(t,\xi/|\xi|));$$

(ii) $\inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 0,t\in\mathbb{R}}|\det\mathscr{N}(t,\xi))|>0$;

(iii) $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$ *belongs to* $\mathrm{Lip}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_t;(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_\xi\setminus 0))^{m^2}\right)$ *and*

$$\partial_t\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)\in(L^1(\mathbb{R}))^{m^2}\quad \text{for each } \xi\ne 0.$$

Applying the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n_x$, we get the following ordinary differential system from (1.1):

$$D_tV=A(t,\xi/|\xi|)|\xi|V. \tag{2.6}$$

We find the asymptotic integration of (2.6) following Ascoli [2] and Wintner [11], cf. Hartman [4]. Multiplying (2.6) by $\mathscr{N}=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$ equations from Lemma 2.2 and putting $\mathscr{N} V = W$, we get

$$D_t W = \mathscr{D}|\xi| W + (D_t \mathscr{N}) V = \left(\mathscr{D}|\xi| + (D_t \mathscr{N})\mathscr{N}^{-1}\right) W, \tag{2.7}$$

since $\mathscr{N} A(t, \xi/|\xi|) = \mathscr{D}\mathscr{N}$ by Lemma 2.2. We can expect that the solutions of (2.7) are asymptotic to some solution of

$$D_t \boldsymbol{y} = \mathscr{D}|\xi| \boldsymbol{y}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\Phi(t, \xi)$ be the fundamental matrix of (2.8), i.e.,

$$\Phi(t, \xi) = \operatorname{diag}\left(e^{i\int_0^t \varphi_1(s,\xi)\, ds}, \cdots, e^{i\int_0^t \varphi_m(s,\xi)\, ds}\right).$$

If we perform the Wronskian transform $\boldsymbol{a}(t, \xi) = \Phi(t, \xi)^{-1} W(t, \xi)$, then the system (2.7) reduces to the system $D_t \boldsymbol{a} = C(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}$, where $C(t, \xi)$ is given by

$$C(t, \xi) = \Phi(t, \xi)^{-1}(D_t \mathscr{N}(t, \xi))\mathscr{N}(t, \xi)^{-1}\Phi(t, \xi).$$

We note that $C(\cdot, \xi) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}))^{m^2}$, since $D_t \mathscr{N}(\cdot, \xi) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}))^{m^2}$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence $D_t \boldsymbol{a}(\cdot, \xi) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}))^m$; thus there exist the limits

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \boldsymbol{a}(t, \xi) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\pm}(\xi).$$

Since $W(t, \xi) = \Phi(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}(t, \xi)$ and $\mathscr{N}(t, \xi)V(t, \xi) = W(t, \xi)$, we get

$$V(t, \xi) = \mathscr{N}(t, \xi)^{-1}\Phi(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}(t, \xi).$$

Now let $(V_0(t, \xi), \ldots, V_{m-1}(t, \xi))$ be the fundamental matrix of (2.6). This means, in particular, that $(V_0(0, \xi), \ldots, V_{m-1}(0, \xi)) = I$. Then each $V_j(t, \xi)$ can be represented by

$$V_j(t, \xi) = \mathscr{N}(t, \xi)^{-1}\Phi(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi),$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)$ are the corresponding amplitude functions to $V_j(t, \xi)$. Since $\widehat{U}(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} V_j(t, \xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)$, we arrive at

$$\widehat{U}(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{N}(t, \xi)^{-1}\Phi(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi).$$

Finally, let us find the estimates of the amplitude functions $\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)$. Recalling that $\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)$ satisfy the problem

$$D_t \boldsymbol{a}^j = C(t, \xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j \quad \text{with } (\boldsymbol{a}^0(0, \xi), \cdots, \boldsymbol{a}^{m-1}(0, \xi)) = \mathscr{N}(0, \xi),$$

we can write $\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)$ by the Picard series:

$$\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi) = \left(I + i\int_0^t C(\tau_1, \xi)\, d\tau_1 + i^2 \int_0^t C(\tau_1, \xi)\, d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} C(\tau_2, \xi)\, d\tau_2 + \cdots\right)\boldsymbol{a}^j(0, \xi).$$

This implies that

$$\left|\boldsymbol{a}^j(t, \xi)\right| \le e^{c\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_t \mathscr{N}(s,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\, ds} |\boldsymbol{a}^j(0, \xi)|,$$

where we have used the following:

Fact. *Let $f(t)$ be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$e^{\int_s^t f(\tau)\, d\tau} = 1 + \int_s^t f(\tau_1)\, d\tau_1 + \int_s^t f(\tau_1)\, d\tau_1 \int_s^{\tau_1} f(\tau_2)\, d\tau_2 + \cdots.$$

Summarising the above argument, we obtain

Proposition 2.3. *Assume* (1.3)–(1.5). *Let* $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$ *be the diagonaliser of* $A(t,\xi/|\xi|)$ *constructed in Lemma 2.2. Then there exist vector-valued functions* $\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)$, $j = 0,1,\dots,m-1$, *determined by the initial value problem*

$$D_t\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi) = C(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi), \qquad \big(\boldsymbol{a}^1(0,\xi),\cdots,\boldsymbol{a}^m(0,\xi)\big) = \mathscr{N}(0,\xi),$$

$$\textit{with} \quad C(t,\xi) = \Phi(t,\xi)^{-1}(D_t\mathscr{N}(t,\xi))\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}_t))^{m^2},$$

such that the solution $U(t,x)$ *of* (1.1) *is represented by*

$$U(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)\widehat{f_j}(\xi)\right](x). \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, the limits

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^j_{\pm}(\xi), \quad j = 0,1,\dots,m-1,$$

exist, and there exists a constant $c > 0$ *such that*

$$\left|\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)\right| \le c, \quad j = 0,1,\dots,m-1,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.3 is known as Levinson's lemma (see Coddington and Levinson [3]) in the theory of ordinary differential equations; the new feature here is the additional dependence on ξ, which is crucial for our analysis.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i).

By our assumption that $\varphi_j(t;\xi) - \varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)$, $j = 1,\dots,m$, are integrable on $(0,+\infty)$ ($(-\infty,0)$ resp.), we can define functions $\Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi)$ to be

$$\Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi) = \int_0^{\pm\infty}\left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right) ds.$$

Put

$$\Phi_j(s,\xi) = \varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi).$$

Then we can write

$$\int_0^t \varphi_j(s,\xi)\,ds = \varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)t + \Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi) - \int_t^{\pm\infty}\Phi_j(s,\xi)\,ds.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\int_0^t\varphi_j(s,\xi)\,ds} &= e^{i\left(\varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)t+\Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right)} + e^{i\left(\varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)t+\Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right)}\left(\exp\left(-i\int_t^{\pm\infty}\Phi_j(s,\xi)\,ds\right) - 1\right) \\ &\equiv e^{i\left(\varphi_j^{\pm}(\xi)t+\Theta_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right)} + \Psi_j(t,\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\Psi_j(t,\xi) = O\left(\int_{|t|}^{+\infty}\Phi_j(s,\xi)\,ds\right) \quad (t\to\pm\infty).$$

Putting

$$\Phi_{\pm}(t,\xi) = \operatorname{diag}\left(e^{i\varphi_1^{\pm}(\xi)t},\cdots,e^{i\varphi_m^{\pm}(\xi)t}\right),$$

$$D_\pm(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}\left(e^{i\Theta_1^\pm(\xi)}, \cdots, e^{i\Theta_m^\pm(\xi)}\right),$$

$$\Psi(t,\xi) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\Psi_1(t;\xi), \cdots, \Psi_m(t;\xi)\right),$$

we can write (3.1) as

$$\Phi(t,\xi) = \Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(t,\xi) + \Psi(t,\xi) \qquad \text{with} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Psi(t,\xi) \to 0 \qquad (t \to \pm\infty). \tag{3.3}$$

Plugging this identity into (2.9) from Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(t,x) = &\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left(\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1} - \mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\right)\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\left(\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi) - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\right)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Psi(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x), \quad t \gtrless 0. \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily checked that

$$V_\pm(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x) \tag{3.4}$$

satisfy the equation (1.7). Thus we conclude that

$$\|U(\cdot,t) - V_\pm(\cdot,t)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m} \to 0 \quad (t \to \pm\infty),$$

if we use (3.3) and the following convergence:

$$\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1} \to \mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi) \to \boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi) \quad (t \to \pm\infty).$$

As a conclusion, $U(x,t)$ is asymptotically free. Moreover, the mapping

$$\mathscr{W}_\pm^{-1} : U(0) \mapsto V_\pm(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}D_\pm(\xi)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi)\right](x)$$

is bijective and bounded on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$. Theorem 1.1 (i) is thus proved. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii).

We recall from (2.9) and (3.4) that

$$\widehat{U}(t,\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi),$$

$$\widehat{V}_\pm(t,\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_\pm^j(\xi)\widehat{f}_j(\xi).$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii) is reduced to the the next lemma provided that $(f_0(x), \dots, f_{m-1}(x))$ are non-trivial.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\varphi_j(t,\xi)$ *and* $\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, *be the phase functions as in* (1.5) *and* (1.8), *respectively. Suppose that*

$$|\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t| \to +\infty \quad (t \to \pm\infty), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\vartheta_j(t,\xi) = \int_0^t \varphi_j(s,\xi)\,ds$. *Let* $A_j(t,\xi), B_j(t,\xi) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ *for* $j = 1, \dots, m-1$, *satisfying*

$$A_j(t,\xi) \to A_j^\pm(\xi), \quad B_j(t,\xi) \to B_j^\pm(\xi) \quad \textit{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (t \to \pm\infty),$$

with some $A_j^\pm(\xi), B_j^\pm(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ A_j(t,\xi) e^{i\vartheta_j(t,\xi)} - B_j(t,\xi) e^{i\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t} \right\} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \longrightarrow \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\|A_j^\pm(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|B_j^\pm(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \right\}^{1/2}$$

as $t \to \pm\infty$.

Proof. Putting

$$K_\pm(t,\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ A_j(t,\xi) e^{i\vartheta_j(t,\xi)} - B_j(t,\xi) e^{i\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t} \right\},$$

we can write

$$|K_\pm(t,\xi)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(|A_j(t,\xi)|^2 + |B_j(t,\xi)|^2 \right) + \mathrm{Re} H_\pm(t,\xi), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_\pm(t,\xi) = 2\sum_{j<k} &\left\{ e^{i\{\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \vartheta_k(t,\xi)\}} A_j(t,\xi)\overline{A_k(t,\xi)} + e^{i\{\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t - \varphi_k^\pm(\xi)t\}} B_j(t,\xi)\overline{B_k(t,\xi)} \right\} \\ &- 2\sum_{j=1}^m e^{i\{\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t\}} A_j(t,\xi)\overline{B_j(t,\xi)} - 2\sum_{j<k} e^{i\{\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_k^\pm(\xi)t\}} A_j(t,\xi)\overline{B_k(t,\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can check that all the phases in $H_\pm(t,\xi)$ are unbounded in t. Indeed, it follows from (1.5) and (1.8) that if $j < k$, then

$$|\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \vartheta_k(t,\xi)| = \left| \int_0^t (\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k(s,\xi))\,ds \right| \ge d|\xi||t| \to +\infty, \tag{4.3}$$

$$|\varphi_j^\pm(\xi)t - \varphi_k^\pm(\xi)t| \ge d|\xi||t| \to +\infty, \tag{4.4}$$

as $t \to \pm\infty$. Since $\varphi_j(t,\xi) \to \varphi_j^\pm(\xi)$ as $t \to \pm\infty$ by Proposition 2.1, it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $T > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k^\pm(\xi)| \ge (d - \varepsilon)|\xi|, \quad j \ne k, \quad |s| > T, \quad \xi \ne 0,$$

hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \qquad \left|\vartheta_j(t,\xi) - \varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)t\right| &= \left|\int_0^t \left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)\right)\, ds\right| \\
&\geq \left|\int_T^t \left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)\right)\, ds\right| - \left|\int_0^T \left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)\right)\, ds\right| \\
&\geq (d-\varepsilon)|t-T||\xi| - \left|\int_0^T \left(\varphi_j(s,\xi) - \varphi_k^{\pm}(\xi)\right)\, ds\right| \to +\infty \quad (t \to \pm\infty).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we note a lemma on oscillatory integrals:

Fact A. If $\phi(t) \to \pm\infty$ $(t \to \pm\infty)$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi(t)|\xi|}\Phi(\xi)\, d\xi \to 0, \quad (t \to \pm\infty), \quad \text{for } \Phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Thus we have, by Fact A and (4.1)–(4.5),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_{\pm}(t,\xi)\, d\xi \to 0 \quad (t \to \pm\infty).$$

In conclusion, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_{\pm}(t,\xi)|^2\, d\xi \to \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|A_j^{\pm}(\xi)|^2 + |B_j^{\pm}(\xi)|^2\right)\, d\xi \quad (t \to \pm\infty).$$

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let $V_{\pm} = V_{\pm}(t,x)$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$D_t V_{\pm} = A_{\pm}(D_x)V_{\pm}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \pm t > 0$$

with Cauchy data

$$V_{\pm}(0,x) = {}^T(f_0^{\pm}(x), \dots, f_{m-1}^{\pm}(x)).$$

Let $\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi)$ be the diagonaliser of the symbol $A_{\pm}(\xi/|\xi|)$, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi)A_{\pm}(\xi/|\xi|) = \mathscr{D}_{\pm}(\xi/|\xi|)\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi),$$

where we put

$$\mathscr{D}_{\pm}(\xi) = \operatorname{diag}\left(\varphi_1^{\pm}(\xi), \cdots, \varphi_m^{\pm}(\xi)\right).$$

Denoting

$$\Phi_{\pm}(t,\xi) = \operatorname{diag}\left(e^{i\varphi_1^{\pm}(\xi)t}, \cdots, e^{i\varphi_m^{\pm}(\xi)t}\right),$$

and by $\boldsymbol{e}^0, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}^{m-1}$ the standard unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^m$, we can write

$$V_{\pm}(t,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_{\pm}(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{e}^j \widehat{f}_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right](x).$$

In the first step, we will find the asymptotic integration for $D_t\widehat{U}(t,\xi) = A(t,\xi)\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$, such that $\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$ is asymptotic to $\widehat{V}_{\pm}(t,\xi)$ as $t \to \pm\infty$.

We prove the following:

Proposition 5.1. *Assume* (1.13)–(1.14). *Let* $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)$ *be the diagonaliser of* $A(t,\xi/|\xi|)$ *from Lemma 2.2, and put*

$$\Phi(t,\xi)=\mathrm{diag}\left(e^{i\int_0^t\varphi_1(s,\xi)\,ds},\cdots,e^{i\int_0^t\varphi_m(s,\xi)\,ds}\right).$$

Then there exist a fundamental matrix $W_\pm(t,\xi)$ *of* $D_t\widehat{U}(t,\xi)=A(t,\xi)\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$ *such that*

$$W_\pm(t,\xi)=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)(I+R_\pm(t,\xi))\qquad \textit{with}$$
$$R_\pm(t,\xi)\to 0\qquad (t\to\pm\infty).$$

Proof. We prove the case "−", since the case "+" is the same as "−". The idea of proof comes from [4]. Let $\varphi_1(t,\xi),\dots,\varphi_m(t,\xi)$ be the characteristic roots of the operator $D_t-A(t,D_x)$. We define a matrix $C_-(t,\xi)$ as

$$C_-(t,\xi)=\Phi(t,\xi)^{-1}(D_t\mathscr{N}(t,\xi))\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi). \tag{5.1}$$

Let Σ_- be a set of all $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)$, $j=0,\dots,m-1$, are solutions of the problem

$$D_t\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)=C_-(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma),\quad \boldsymbol{a}_-^j(\sigma,\xi;\sigma)=\boldsymbol{e}^j.$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)$ can be written as the Picard series:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)=\Bigg(I+i\int_\sigma^t C_-(\tau_1,\xi)\,d\tau_1 \\ +i^2\int_\sigma^t C_-(\tau_1,\xi)\,d\tau_1\int_\sigma^{\tau_1}C_-(\tau_2,\xi)\,d\tau_2+\cdots\Bigg)\boldsymbol{e}^j$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $\sigma\in\Sigma_-$ and $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we can estimate

$$\left|\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)\right|\le e^{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|C_-(s,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds}\le e^{c\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_s\mathscr{N}(s,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds}. \tag{5.2}$$

Put

$$W_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma).$$

Then we see from (5.1) that each $W_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$D_tW_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)=A(t,\xi)W_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma).$$

It follows from (5.2) that $\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)$ exist for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $j=0,\dots,m-1$ and $\sigma\in\Sigma_-$, and hence, we can estimate

$$\|D_t\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)\|\le\|C_-(t,\xi)\|\|\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)\|\le c_1e^{c\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_\tau\mathscr{N}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,d\tau}\|\partial_t\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)\|.$$

Since $\partial_t\mathscr{N}(\cdot,\xi)\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, these estimates imply that, for $|t|\le|\sigma|$, $\sigma\in\Sigma_-$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{a}_-^j(t,\xi;\sigma)-\boldsymbol{e}^j\|=\left\|\int_\sigma^t\partial_t\boldsymbol{a}_-(\tau,\xi;\sigma)\,d\tau\right\| \\ \le c_1e^{c\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_\tau\mathscr{N}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,d\tau}\int_{|t|}^{|\sigma|}\|\partial_s\mathscr{N}(s,\xi)\|\,ds. \tag{5.3}$$

In particular, the family $\{\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi;\sigma)\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma_-}$ is uniformly bounded in σ,ξ and equicontinuous on every bounded t-interval. Hence there exists a sequence $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ of Σ_- such that

$$|\sigma_1|<|\sigma_2|<\cdots, \quad |\sigma_\ell|\to\infty \quad (\ell\to\infty),$$

and the limits

$$\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)=\lim_{\ell\to\infty}\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi;\sigma_\ell)$$

exist uniformly in ξ on every bounded t-interval. Moreover, the limits

$$W^j_-(t,\xi)=\lim_{\ell\to\infty}W^j_-(t,\xi;\sigma_\ell)=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)$$

also exist. Hence $\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)$ are the solutions of $D_t\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)=C_-(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)$, and $W^j_-(t,\xi)$ are the solutions of $D_tW^j_-(t,\xi)=A(t,\xi)W^j_-(t,\xi)$. Putting $\sigma=\sigma_\ell$ in (5.3), and letting $\ell\to\infty$, with t fixed, we see that

$$\|\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)-\boldsymbol{e}^j\|\le c_1e^{c\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_\tau\mathscr{N}(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,d\tau}\int_{-\infty}^t\|\partial_s\mathscr{N}(s,\xi)\|\,ds \tag{5.4}$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. The uniqueness of each $\boldsymbol{a}^j_-(t,\xi)$ is obvious.

Now we can write, by putting $\boldsymbol{r}^j_-(t,\xi)=\boldsymbol{a}^j(t,\xi)-\boldsymbol{e}^j$,

$$W^j_-(t,\xi)=\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Phi(t,\xi)(\boldsymbol{e}^j+\boldsymbol{r}^j_-(t,\xi)), \tag{5.5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}^j_-(t,\xi)$ is uniform in ξ and satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{r}^j_-(t,\xi)\to\boldsymbol{0} \qquad (t\to-\infty) \tag{5.6}$$

on account of (5.4). It remains to prove that $W_-(t,\xi)\equiv(W^1_-(t,\xi),\dots,W^{m-1}_-(t,\xi))$ is the fundamental matrix for $D_t\widehat{V}=A(t,\xi)\widehat{V}$. Taking the determinant of $W_-(t,\xi)$ in (5.5), we have, by using (5.6), $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\to\mathscr{N}_-(\xi)^{-1}$ $(t\to-\infty)$ and $|\mathrm{det}\Phi(t,\xi)|=1$, that

$$|\mathrm{det}W_-(t,\xi)|\longrightarrow|\mathrm{det}\mathscr{N}_-(\xi)|^{-1}\neq0 \quad (t\to-\infty).$$

Hence there exists a number $t_0\le0$ such that

$$\mathrm{det}W_-(t,\xi)\neq0 \quad \text{for all } t\le t_0.$$

Since $W_-(t,\xi)$ satisfies $D_tW_-(t,\xi)=A(t,\xi)W_-(t,\xi)$, it follows from the Abel-Jacobi formula that

$$\mathrm{det}W_-(t,\xi)=\mathrm{det}W_-(t_0,\xi)\exp\int_{t_0}^t\mathrm{tr}A(s,\xi)\,ds\neq0$$

for all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus0$ and all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. It means that $W_-(t,\xi)$ is the fundamental matrix for $D_t\widehat{U}=A(t,\xi)\widehat{U}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1. □

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.2. Now we are in a position to prove our theorem. Namely, we will find a solution $U(t,x)$ of $D_tU=A(t,D_x)U$ such that

$$\|V_\pm(t,\cdot)-U(t,\cdot)\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m}\to0 \quad (t\to\pm\infty). \tag{5.7}$$

Now going back to (3.1)–(3.3) in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}W_\pm(t,\xi)=&\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1}\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)+(\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}-\mathscr{N}_\pm(\xi)^{-1})\Phi_\pm(t,\xi)D_\pm(\xi)\\&+\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Psi(t,\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Thus putting

$$\widehat{U}(t,\xi)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}W_{\pm}(t,\xi)D_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}^j\widehat{f}_j^{\pm}(\xi),$$

we can decompose $\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$ into three terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{U}(t,\xi)=&\widehat{V}_{\pm}(t,\xi)+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}(\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}-\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1})\Phi_{\pm}(t,\xi)\boldsymbol{e}^j\widehat{f}_j^{\pm}(\xi)\\&+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}\Psi(t,\xi)D_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}^j\widehat{f}_j^{\pm}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

It can be readily checked that this $\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$ satisfies $D_t\widehat{U}(t,\xi)=A(t,\xi)\widehat{U}(t,\xi)$. Since $\mathscr{N}(t,\xi)^{-1}-\mathscr{N}_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1}\to 0$ and $\Psi(t,\xi)\to 0$ as $t\to\pm\infty$, we conclude from the Plancherel theorem that (5.7) is true. Moreover, the mapping

$$\mathscr{W}_{\pm}:V_{\pm}(0)\mapsto U(0)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left[W_{\pm}(0,\xi)D_{\pm}(\xi)^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}^j\widehat{f}_j^{\pm}(\xi)\right]$$

is bijective and bounded on $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^m$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished. □

Acknowledgements. The proof of Lemma 4.1 is based on the private communication with Professors Sergio Spagnolo and Marina Ghisi when the first author visited the University of Pisa. The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to them.

References

[1] A. Arosio, *Asymptotic behaviour as* $t\to+\infty$ *of the solutions of linear hyperbolic equations with coefficients discontinuous in time* (*on a bounded domain*), J. Differential Equations **39** (1981), 291–309.

[2] G. Ascoli, *Sulla forma asintotica degli integrali dell'equazione differenziale* $y''+A(x)y=0$ *in un caso notevole di stabilità*, Univ. Nac. Tucumán, Revista A. **2** (1941), 131–140.

[3] E.A. Coddington and N. Levinson, "Theory of differential equations", New York, McGraw-Hill, 1955.

[4] P. Hartman, "Ordinary differential equations", SIAM, 2nd edition, 2002.

[5] T. Matsuyama, *Asymptotic behaviour for wave equations with time-dependent coefficients*, Annali Università di Ferrara Sec. VII - Sci. Math., **52** (2) (2006), 383–393.

[6] T. Matsuyama, *Asymptotic profiles for Kirchhoff equation*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **17** (2006), 377–395.

[7] T. Matsuyama and M. Ruzhansky, *Asymptotic integration and dispersion for hyperbolic equations*, Adv. Differential Equations **15** (2010), 721–756.

[8] T. Matsuyama and M. Ruzhansky, *Dispersion for hyperbolic systems, with applications to Kirchhoff systems*, preprint.

[9] S. Mizohata, "The theory of partial differential equations", Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.

[10] M. Ruzhansky and J. Smith, "Dispersive and Strichartz estimates for hyperbolic equations with constant coefficients", MSJ Memoirs, 22, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2010.

[11] A. Wintner, *Asymptotic integrations of adiabatic oscillator*, Amer. J. Math. **69** (1947), 251–272.

†Department of Mathematics
Tokai University
Hiratsuka

Kanagawa 259-1292
Japan

*Department of Mathematics
Imperial College London
180 Queen's gate
London SW7 2AZ
United Kingdom
E-mail address: `tokio@keyaki.cc.u-tokai.ac.jp`
`m.ruzhansky@imperial.ac.uk`